Monticello School District

Commendations:

From the Public Health Nutritionist:

Thank you to all staff at Monticello School District for the warm welcome and cooperation during this Administrative Review (AR). A special thanks to the Food Service Director for their great organizational skills which helped expedite this AR. All standardized recipes, production records, and crediting documentation was well-organized and easy-to-follow. All daily and weekly meal pattern requirements were met for the high school. In addition, thank you to the school nutrition professionals who brought great energy into the cafeteria. Lastly, thank you to the administrative staff for supporting such a great school nutrition program.

From the Nutrition Program Consultant:

Our sincere thanks to the administration and school nutrition staff of Monticello School District. We really appreciate the time and effort spent preparing for and participating in the administrative review process, especially the organization of documents! Thank you for your availability during the on-site portion of the review and being open to discussion and suggestions. Thank you for everything that you do to help the kids succeed!

For information on the USDA Child Nutrition Programs, visit the DPI School Nutrition webpage. For in-depth technical assistance, including program links see the 2023-24 General Program Reminders which has been uploaded to the documents tab in SNACS.

The Department of Public Instruction (DPI) School Nutrition Team (SNT) offers several types of trainings to cover most areas of the school nutrition programs. In addition, the SNT offers School Nutrition Summer Training online and in various locations around the state. Find more information on these training opportunities on the DPI School Nutrition Training webpage (<u>https://dpi.wi.gov/school-nutrition/training</u>).

No Recommendations found for this review.

Monticello School District

Findings and Corrective Action:

Site Name					
Form Name	Meal Counting and Claiming (300 - 311)				
Question #	305				
TA Log #	No TA Log# found				
Due Date					
Corrective Action Status	Flagged				
Corrective Action History	Flagged 04/19/2024 01:33 PM	 Finding 1: The SFA has an Unpaid Meal Charge policy in place but students are still accruing large amounts of meal debt with no penalty. Unpaid meal charges are an unallowable expense to food service. Corrective Action 1: Update the unpaid meal charge policy, clearly communicate the policy multiple times throughout the school year with households and staff, and collect student payments. Utilize the Unpaid Meal Charge Policy 'In a Nutshell' to revise the current policy. It is suggested to provide an alternate meal once the students meet a determined negative account balance. Submit a copy of the updated policy. Finding 2: The SFA is allowing students to charge meals and rack up a large unpaid meal debt. This is an unallowable cost to Fund 50. All debt accrued while the student is still enrolled is considered delinquent debt. The SFA must make every effort to recoup the unpaid meal debt while the student is still enrolled. A strong, enforced Unpaid Meal Charge Policy is necessary here. Once all efforts to recoup the unpaid meal debt are exhausted, the SFA can determine the delinquent debt as bad debt. When the unpaid meal debt is considered bad debt, the district is responsible for paying it off by transferring money to Fund 50 from an Angel Fund or from Fund 10. 			
Site Name					
Form Name	Revenue From Non-Program Foods (709 - 711)				
Question #	709				
TA Log #	No TA Log# found				
Due Date					
Corrective Action Status	Flagged				
Corrective Action History	Flagged 04/19/2024 01:36 PM	 Finding: SFA did not complete the DPI Nonprogram Foods Revenue Tool or USDA Tool to determine compliance with nonprogram foods pricing and ratio requirements per 7 CFR 210.14. All a la carte items sold at breakfast were not included on the Nonprogram Revenue Tool. Corrective Action: Submit a statement of understanding that this tool is required to be completed annually and must include all a la carte items. 			
Site Name					
Form Name	Civil Rights (800 - 807)				
Question #	803				
TA Log #	No TA Log# found				
Due Date					
Corrective Action Status	Flagged				
Corrective Action History	Flagged 04/19/2024 01:37 PM	Finding: The SFA does not have procedures for handling discrimination complaints specific for the school meal program (FNS Instruction 113-1).			

		Corrective Actions Utilizing the DDI template policy				
	Corrective Action: Utilizing the DPI template policy (https://dpi.wi.gov/sites/default/files/imce/school-nutrition/doc/sfa-civil-rights- complaint-procedures-template.docx), upload a copy to the school website. Submit a statement when this has been completed. Submit a statement when this has been completed.					
Site Name						
Form Name	Local School Wellness (1000 - 1	Local School Wellness (1000 - 1006)				
Question #	1005					
TA Log #	No TA Log# found					
Due Date						
Corrective Action Status	Flagged					
	Flagged	Finding: SFA has not completed the assessment of the Local Wellness Policy				
Corrective Action History	04/19/2024 01:39 PM	within the last three years. Corrective Action: Complete the <u>assessment of the Local Wellness Policy</u> and notify the public of the results. Upload a copy of the Report Card that includes WellSAT scores into SNACs or provide a link to this document on the district website.				
Site Name						
Form Name	Certification and Benefit Issuance (124 - 142)					
Question #	126					
TA Log #	No TA Log# found					
Due Date						
Corrective Action Status	Flagged					
Corrective Action History	Flagged 04/19/2024 03:10 PM	 Finding: The SFA did not process all household applications in compliance with 7 CFR 245.6(a). One student was listed as Free, but should have been Reduced. Three students were determined free based on a 7 digit case number which is not a qualification for free meals. Corrective Action: Follow up with the families as needed. Send out a copy of the adverse action letter. Submit a copy of the adverse action letters. 				
Site Name						
Form Name	Verification (207 - 215)	Verification (207 - 215)				
Question #	209					
TA Log #	No TA Log# found					
Due Date						
Corrective Action Status	Flagged					
Corrective Action History	Flagged 04/19/2024 12:50 PM	 Finding: Applications selected for verification were not verified correctly (7 CFR 245.6a). Corrective Action: Mail the adverse action letter to the household. Give the household 10 calendar days from the date of the letter prior to changing the eligibility status to paid. Submit a copy of the letter. Submit a statement of understanding on how the verification process will be completed correctly in the following school year. 				
Site Name						
Form Name	Verification (207 - 215)					
Question #	211					
TA Log #	No TA Log# found					
Due Date						
Corrective Action Status	Flagged					

Corrective Action History	Flagged 04/19/2024 12:47 PM	 Finding: The "We must check" Verification letter is outdated and has the incorrect USDA nondiscrimination statement. Corrective Action: Update the letter with the correct USDA nondiscrimination statement. Submit a copy of the 				
		updated letter and submit a statement this has been corrected in SNACS.				
Site Name						
Form Name	Verification (207 - 215)					
Question #	214					
TA Log #	No TA Log# found					
Due Date						
Corrective Action Status	Flagged					
Corrective Action History	Flagged 04/19/2024 12:50 PM	 Finding: The SFA did not provide ten calendar days notice to the household(s) when benefits were reduced as a result of verification (7CFR 245.6a). Corrective Action: Submit a statement of understanding that ten calendar days must be provided to households prior to reducing benefits, as a result of 				
		verification.				
Site Name	Monticello Hi	Monticello Hi				
Form Name	Meal Components and Quantities - Day of Review (400-408)					
Question #	401	401				
ΓA Log #	No TA Log# found					
Due Date						
Corrective Action Status	CAP Submitted	CAP Submitted				
Corrective Action History	Flagged Finding: Three non-reimbursable meals were observed at breakfast during service on April 9, 2024. Two meals did not contain the required ½ cup fruit, vegetable, or combination and one meal on to contain three items as required under Offer versus Serve. Technical assistance was provided onsite to staff regarding additional signage indicat the 1/2 cup fruit and/or vegetable requirement and keeping a fruit basket the POS so that students would not have to return to the line for the fruit requirement. Pancake on a stick and milk (missing 1/2 cup fruit and/or vegetable) Popta milk (missing ½ cup fruit and/or vegetable) Muffin and juice (missing third indicates understanding that students must select three items, one of which ½ cup fruit, vegetable, or combination, under Offer versus Serve. Addition in this statement explain how this error will be corrected and avoided in the future.					
Site Name	Monticello Hi					
Form Name	Meal Components and Quan	tities - Day of Review (400-408)				
Question #	402					
ΓA Log #	No TA Log# found					
Due Date						
Corrective Action Status	CAP Submitted					
	Flagged 04/10/2024 06:34 AM	Finding: If meals are provided to children on a field trip and claimed for reimbursement, they must meet daily meal pattern requirements. The field trip order form indicates that meals come with a sandwich, apple, chips, bottled water,				

		 lunch meal pattern requires that high school students have the ability to select up to 1 cup fruit and 1 cup vegetable and 1 cup of milk. Corrective Action: Please update the ordering form for 9-12 students to make it clear that students attending field trips may order the full portion size of each component. Please also add verbiage for students to select their preferred milk variety. The updated form may be uploaded in SNACS. This was completed onsite; no further action required. 			
Site Name	Monticello Hi				
Form Name	Meal Components and Quanti	ties - Day of Review (400-408)			
Question #	406				
TA Log #	No TA Log# found				
Due Date					
Corrective Action Status	CAP Submitted				
Corrective Action History	Flagged 04/10/2024 06:27 AM	 Finding: The same meal pattern is used for grades K-12 at lunch. In addition, grades 6-12 are given a third build-your-own entrée option. Because there is no overlap with the dietary specifications for these age groups under the NSLP, a separate K-5, 6-8 and 9-12 or K-8 and 9-12 meal pattern must be followed. Corrective Action: Submit a statement explaining how a separate K-5, 6-8 and 9-12 or K-8 and 9-12 meal pattern will be followed going forward. 			
Site Name	Monticello Hi				
Form Name	Meal Components and Quantities - Review Period (409-412, 430-437)				
Question #	431				
TA Log #	No TA Log# found				
Due Date					
Corrective Action Status	CAP Submitted				
Corrective Action History	Flagged 04/10/2024 06:28 AM	 Production records are required to document that food meeting the meal pattern was served in the appropriate serving sizes. Production records currently have all the requirements; however, all required information is not being documented. The purchase unit prepared column is either not filled in, or filled in with how the leftovers were discarded or saved. Additionally, for fruits and vegetables the total quantity prepared in bulk units is being documented in the number of planned servings. The prepared quantity in bulk units column communicates how many cases, bags, pounds, or items are needed to prepare the planned number of portions. For example, if the plan is to serve 280 half cup servings of baked beans, documenting in the bulk quantity column that school production staff need to pull 12 - #10 cans communicates how much bulk needs to be pulled to prepare the intended number of total servings. The unit to document leftovers is up to the discretion of the SFA and what makes sense for the production and forecasting; whether this is documented in bulk quantity, or the number of portions. Lastly, it is important that staff continue to document any items added to the menu the day of service, e.g. use of leftover vegetables from the day before, and to document any substitutions, e.g. the use of an enriched dinner roll rather than the whole grain roll documented on the production record. Production records communicate the plan of the day, and how that plan was carried out on the day of meal service.			

		In a K-12 building, all grade groups may be documented on the same production record, but planned serving sizes, number of servings prepared, and crediting must be indicated for each grade group. Additionally, it is imperative to indicate the menu options available only to the 6-8 and 9-12 grades on the production record. Please reference the DPI templates for two and three grade groups as an example on our Production Records Webpage (https://dpi.wi.gov/school-nutrition/program-requirements/menu- planning/production-records) . Finding: The planned serving sizes per grade group, number of planned/actual servings prepared, total quantity prepared in bulk units, and menu options only available to older grade groups were not consistently filled or documented daily on lunch production records during the week of review. Production records are intended to be useful tools to record information prior to production, during production, and following production. Review the Production Record Requirements (https://dpi.wi.gov/sites/default/files/imce/school-nutrition/pdf/production-record- requirements.pdf). Corrective Action: Submit one				
		full week of completed breakfast and lunch production records, includingall required information recorded daily. Choose a week (Monday-Friday) that occurs after the completion of this Administrative Review (AR), but before the corrective action due date. Additionally, have all staff complete the DPI production record training (e.g. SNT Production Records e-learning courses: https://dpi.wi.gov/school-nutrition/training/online- learning#meal- patterns:~:text=Production%20Records%20Standardized%20Recipes). Submit a certificate of completion for each staff member, or if completed as a group, submit a meeting agenda detailing the date, training material reviewed including the required webcast, and the staff who attended.				
Site Name	Monticello Hi					
Form Name		Monticello Hi Meal Components and Quantities - Review Period (409-412, 430-437)				
Question #	434					
A Log #	TA Log# exists					
Due Date						
Corrective Action Status	CAP Submitted					
Corrective Action History	Flagged 04/10/2024 06:32 AM	Finding: The Pony Wrap entrée is not being prepared according to the recipe. The recipe calls for the assembly of 3/8 chicken patty, 0.25 oz cheese, 1 oz shredded lettuce tossed in ranch dressing on a 9" whole wheat tortilla. Instead, the staff are assembling the 9" wrap and 1 whole chicken patty and allowing students to select their fillings: cheese, lettuce, jalapeno, tomatoes, pickles, and onions as toppings. The cheese is served via gloved hand by a staff member, not a standardized measuring utensil, and the other fillings are self-serve with tongs. None of the fillings have planned serving sizes.				
		Corrective Action: Submit an updated recipe for the Pony Wrap and a production record template indicating all toppings with the intended serving sizes for each.				
Fechnical Assistance Entries						
	•					

04/09/2024	4719		Administrative Review		FSD					
Comments										
						Created By	Cr	eated Date		
weekly vegetabl communicated t	e requirements o students with e (https://dpi.	s, portion sizes n signage. Salad	d with tongs and are us of at least 1/8 cup each d Bar Signage Template fault/files/imce/school-	n should be e can be found on our			4/	10/2024 7:27:29 AM		
04/09/2024	4718		Administrative Review		FSD					
	Comments									
						Created By		Created Date		
There is confusion about what the planned menu is for breakfast for students. A variety of grain items are offered daily (poptarts, muffins, snack breads, and graham crackers), rotating main entrée, and cereal bowl. Additional signage should be used to communicate to students which items may be selected together and which items would be charged a la carte above the reimbursable meal price as a second entrée.						4/	10/2024 7:27:10 AM			
04/09/2024	4717	434	Administrative Review	Monticello Hi	FSD					
				Comments	1					
						Created By	Cr	eated Date		
exist, but many recipe: The recip used. The quant different produc 2 oz whole grain affects the grain Munchable: Call were going to us portion size. Thi M/MA for the hic be on a standard (https://dpi.wi.c nutshell.pdf). Re products and prin a scale that can	are not accura be calls for 80/ ity prepared in t changes the c component cr s for 1.75 oz ch s would have r h school level. dized recipe, re gov/sites/defau ccipes come fro becdures used accurately met	tely reflecting v 20 ground beef the recipe crec dietary specifica vever, a 2.5 oz editing as well neese, crediting eese before stat esulted in a rec For more infor eview the Stand It/files/imce/sci om corporate bu in each individu	rractices and products. S what is happening in the ; however, USDA 85/15 lits as 2.0 oz eq M/MA; itions of the recipe. • So whole grain-rich bun is as the dietary specificat as 1.75 oz eq. School J e agency staff interven ipe crediting less than t mation on what essenti ardized Recipes in a Nu hool-nutrition/pdf/stanc ut should be site-specific ial kitchen. Additionally r weighing out smaller .43 oz of turkey, etc.	e kitchen. • Meatloaf 5 product is being however, the ub recipe: Calls for a being used. This ions. • Pizza Nutrition Professional ed to correct the the intended 2.0 oz e al information must tshell document Jardized-recipes-in-a c to reflect the actua , consider purchasing	ls q -		4/	10/2024 6:29:48 AM		